STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

BETWEEN

ENFIELD COUNCIL

AND

CANAL & RIVER TRUST

In respect of Enfield Council's Edmonton Leaside Area Action Plan (2017) (ELAAP)

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared to identify areas of agreement and disagreement between Enfield Council and the Canal & River Trust on matters relating to the Council's Submission Edmonton Leaside Area Action Plan (2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue (Document Order)</th>
<th>Representation Ref. No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 – Policy EL1</td>
<td>18 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 - Policy EL6</td>
<td>18 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 - Paragraph 5.9.6</td>
<td>18 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 - Policy EL9</td>
<td>18 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 - Policy EL11</td>
<td>18 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 - Policy EL12</td>
<td>18 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 - Paragraph 5.11.11</td>
<td>18 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 11 - Poicy EL22</td>
<td>18 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 11 - Poicy EL24</td>
<td>18 P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Notes on agreed amendments:

1. **Bold underlined text indicates an addition to the ELAAP text**
2. Strikethrough text indicates a deletion to the ELAAP text

### Areas of Agreement:

**Chapter 5 – Policy EL1**

Both parties agree that development must be high quality and design-led, having regard to the context and to insert the following wording to Policy EL1, Part B, 4th paragraph:

*Higher density development should be situated in areas with higher levels of accessibility to public transport and/or where it can capitalise most appropriately on features such as views and open spaces, while respecting wider visual and public amenity,*

Both parties agree that developments must lead to high quality public realm, and that Policy EL1 should reference Core Policy 30 'Maintaining and Improving the Quality of the Buit and Open Environment' as follows:

*This policy should be read in conjunction with Core Policies 3, 5, 30 and 38 ...*

### Chapter 5 Policy EL2: Economy and Employment in Meridian Water

Both parties agree that Policy EL2 should, as per the submitted Schedule of Minor Amendments, be updated to refer to the "River Lee Navigation" rather than "Lee Navigation River".
Chapter 5 Policy EL4: Ravenside Retail Park

Both parties agree that any improvements to the public realm at Ravenside Retail Park should also improve the site’s interaction with the River Lee Navigation corridor, and that Policy EL4, 2nd bullet point, should be amended as follows:

- Improvements to the public realm, including active frontages and green landscaping and interaction with the River Lee Navigation corridor; and

Chapter 5 - Policy EL6 18 D

Both parties agree that there should be active frontages around the crossing of the River Lee Navigation to allow for natural surveillance. Amend the text at Policy EL6, Part B, 3rd bullet point as follows:

‘Ensure active frontages to the Causeway on both sides and, where the River Lee Navigation is crossed, to extend the activity around the corner plots to permit natural surveillance around and under the bridge.’

Chapter 5 - Paragraph 5.9.6 18 G

Both parties agree that reference should be made in the supporting text to exploring opportunities for surface water drainage into the River Lee Navigation, subject to assessment for pollution and flow-rate and EA permit. Insert text at the end of paragraph 5.9.6:

The River Lee Navigation provides an opportunity for receiving surface water drainage from Meridian Water and proposals should explore this potential, subject to assessment for pollution and flow-rate and Environment Agency permit.

Chapter 5 - Policy EL9 18 H
Both parties support making use of the watercourses and the potential for new waterspaces in appropriate locations at Meridian Water. It is agreed to add text to Policy EL9 to follow the 3rd bullet point:

- **That opportunities for new waterspaces to support leisure uses within Meridian Water have been considered where appropriate;**

**Chapter 5 - Policy EL11**

Both parties agree that the ELAAP should support tall building design which considers the impact of overshadowing on public spaces, with the following inserted as a 9th bullet point to Policy EL11, Part C:

- **Avoid compromising through overshadowing the enjoyment of open spaces, including water spaces;**

**Chapter 5 - Policy EL12**

Both parties agree on the potential benefits of moorings along the River Lee Navigation within Meridian Water and will include in Policy EL12 the following additional 5th bullet point under 'Waterways and Water Frontages':

- **Where suitable, the provision of residential and commercial moorings along the River Lee Navigation, particularly where this will contribute to an active and vibrant waterway corridor;**

**Chapter 5 Paragraph 5.11.11**

Both parties agree that proposals should be encouraged to include waterside and waterway within the boundary of their sites to ensure the public realm is planned, and improvements delivered, in a way that is integrated with the development. Insert text as a new paragraph to follow paragraph 5.11.11:
Planning proposals are encouraged to include the waterside and waterway within the boundary of their sites, where relevant, to ensure that the public realm is planned, and improvements delivered, in a way that is integrated with the development.

Chapter 11 - Policy EL22

Both parties agree that the environment for cyclists and pedestrians below and alongside the North Circular Road should be improved and to amend the wording in Policy EL22, 4th bullet point:

- Improved public realm, including where routes pass beneath the North Circular Road; and

Chapter 11 - Policy EL24

Both parties agree on the potential beneficial effect of encouraging commercial and residential uses on the River Lee Navigation through support for moorings and to extend Policy EL24 as follows:

Where suitable, the Council will support opportunities for waterborne traffic, on the River Lee Navigation, in particular:

- Freight transport to help alleviate the road network;
- Leisure, tourism and educational uses, such as day trip boats, overnight moorings, and a 'floating classroom';
- Waterbus and/or water taxis to transport passengers along the Lee Valley; and
- Residential uses and other commercial uses, where appropriate;

Any proposals must be considered through consultation with the relevant statutory organisations.

Both parties support waterborne freight traffic on the River Lee Navigation where suitable and agree to insert additional text at paragraph 11.7.3:

Transferring road freight to the waterways offers the potential to reduce the impact of freight transport on the local highway network and developments adjacent to the
Navigation should consider the use of waterborne freight for transporting demolition waste and construction materials.

Chapter 12 - Policy EL27

Both parties agree that policy wording regarding the use of waterway for cooling should remain unchanged and not reduce the feasibility evaluation threshold.

Signed on behalf of Enfield Council

Signed

Neeru Kareer BA (Hons) MSc MRTPi
Head of Strategic Planning and Design (interim)
Place

Date: 04.10.2015

Signed on behalf of Canal & River Trust

Signed

Debbie Fifer BSc (Hons) DipTP MRTPi
Planning Manager

Date: 4/10/18