

**Rolling Schedule of Further Changes – Post Publication Stage  
The Enfield – Proposed Submission Core Strategy**



**As At**

**28<sup>th</sup> JULY 2010**

## **Rolling Schedule of Further Minor Changes (FMCs)**

The Council has taken the opportunity to identify minor amendments to the Core Strategy DPD now under examination. The **FMCs do not follow** document order.

## Schedule of Further Changes

**Text in Bold Print and underline** Proposed insertion  
~~Text with a strikethrough~~ Proposed deletion

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC1	<b>Chapter 3: Enfield's Spatial vision; General &amp; Glossary</b>	<p>Replace references to community infrastructure, community facilities and social facilities, to one definition of social infrastructure.</p> <p>Redefine within the Glossary definition of Social Infrastructure:</p> <p><del>The range of activities, organisations, and facilities supporting the formation, development and maintenance of social relationships on a community. It includes educational, recreational, health and law and order facilities.</del></p> <p>Replace with:  <b><u>Covers facilities such as health provision, early years provision,</u></b></p>	To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 30/03/T/0

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>schools, colleges and universities, community, cultural, recreation and sports facilities, places of worship, policing and other criminal justice or community safety facilities, children and young people’s play and informal recreation facilities. This list is not intended to be exhaustive and other facilities can be included as social infrastructure.</u></p>	
FMC 2	Strategic Objective 5	<p>Insert addition words:</p> <p><b><u>Strategic Objective 5: Education, health and wellbeing –</u></b>  To ensure the capacity and quality of local social infrastructure provision including schools and further education, health <b>and policing</b> facilities, social care, retail services, leisure and recreation facilities is sufficient to meet the needs of Enfield's existing population and new residents.....</p>	To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 32/04/T/0.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 3	Paragraph 5.96	<p>Insert addition updates:</p> <p><b>5.96</b> In 2007, the Metropolitan Police Authority produced an Asset Management Plan (AMP) <b>(33)</b> for Enfield which looks at the existing estate and local requirements for modern day policing. The AMP identifies that future operational needs will focus on a) delivering several police <u>facilities</u> 'shops' <b>that enable better public access to the police with provision for neighbourhood policing teams</b> with provision for Safer Neighbourhood Teams <b>(34)</b> which will ideally be located within town and local centres that are readily accessible. <b><u>Neighbourhood police centres without a contact point do not have to be in accessible areas</u></b> and b) a new custody centre.</p>	To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 32/05/T/0.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 4	Core Policy 17	<p>Insert addition updates:</p> <p>The Council will strengthen the role of Enfield's town centres by focusing new commercial, retail, leisure, office, residential and <b><u>other appropriate social infrastructure related uses, such as police facilities</u></b> within the centres according to the Borough's town centre hierarchy identified in Table 6.3</p>	To address representation raised by MPS/MPA reference. 32/06/CP17/0
FMC 5	Paragraph 6.26	<p><b>Second and third sentences of Paragraph 6.26</b> – remove reference to draft PPS4 and replace with PPS4 to read as follows:</p> <p>"It is also in line with the objectives set out in the Government's <del>draft Planning Policy Statement 4 (2009): Planning for Prosperous Economies</del> <b><u>Planning Policy Statement 4 (2009): Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth</u></b>, which stresses ... "(and)</p> <p><del>"Draft PPS4 asks Local Planning Authorities to consider..."</del></p>	Update Core Strategy to reflect national guidance by removing reference to draft PPS4 and replace with PPS4

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 6	Paragraph 6.26	<p><b>Clarification:</b> The Council suggest inserting additional text to paragraph 6.26 of the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The <b>Core</b> Policy <b>14</b> accords with <b><u>the provisions set out in the London Plan consolidated with alterations since 2004 (2008) and its</u></b> Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008)</p>	Additional clarity is suggested by the respondent National Grid Property Holdings to ensure that the intention of the Core Strategy is in accordance with the provisions set out in the London Plan and its associated Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008).
FMC 7	Paragraph 6.47.	<p><b>Paragraph 6.47.</b> Delete reference to PPS6 and replace with; PPS4 policy EC3.1bi as follows:</p> <p>“The Government's Planning Policy Statement <del>6 (PPS6) on Planning for Town Centres (2005)</del> <b><u>4 (PPS4): Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth</u></b>, identifies the situations when the designation of new centres may be appropriate. New centres may be appropriate in areas <del>of significant growth</del> or where there are deficiencies in the existing network of centres (with priority given to deprived areas). Enfield has four strategic growth areas where substantial new growth is anticipated, with the most significant change taking place at Meridian</p>	Amend Core Strategy to reflect advice in PPS4 which has replaced PPS6.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		Water within Central Leaside.	
FMC 8	New Paragraph to follow 6.49	<p>Additional paragraph to follow paragraph 6.49 - to read as follows:</p> <p><u>Heritage assets are often concentrated in town centres; PPS4 indicates that these assets if conserved and enhanced are important in promoting and sustaining town centre vitality and viability. Many of the Borough's town centres are designated in part as Conservation Areas for example, Southgate district centre and the major centre at Enfield Town. Town centre proposals which impact on heritage assets will be assessed against criteria contained in Core Policies 30 relating to maintaining the quality of the built and open environment and 31 which applies to preserving and enhancing built and landscape heritage.</u></p>	Minor change proposed to the lower text accompanying Core Policy 17 to reflect the new guidance in PPS4 relating to heritage assets.



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 9	Core Policy 18	<p>Amend the final sentence of the final paragraph of Core Policy 18 to read as follows:</p> <p>“# <b><u>If</u></b> it can be demonstrated <b><u>following an impact assessment</u></b> that there will not be a negative impact on <b><u>a)</u></b> the vitality and viability of existing centres, <b><u>b) planned investment in centres,</u></b> and <b><u>c)</u></b> that the development increases the overall sustainability and accessibility of the retail park in question.</p>	Minor change proposed to give clarity to policy CP18 following guidance issued in PPS4.
FMC 10	New paragraph to follow Paragraph 6.63	<p><b>New paragraph to follow Paragraph 6.63 – to read as follows</b></p> <p><b><u>“PPS4 requires an impact assessment for retail and leisure proposals above 2,500 square metres gross that are not in an existing centre. An impact assessment may also be required for smaller scale proposals where the nature of the proposal is likely to have a significant localised impact on a designated centre(s)”</u></b></p>	Minor change proposed to Core Strategy text following guidance issued in PPS4.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 11	Paragraph 7.28	<p>Amend paragraph to read:</p> <p>The NLWP will ensure that sufficient land is allocated for waste management facilities capable of dealing with the combined apportionment targets for the seven boroughs: <del>1,514,000</del> <b>1,504,000</b> tonnes/year by 2010; <del>2,005,000</del> <b>1,994,000</b> tonnes/year by 2015 and <del>2,355,000</del> <b>2,341,000</b> tonnes/year by 2020.</p>	To ensure consistency with the London Plan (2008).
FMC 12	New paragraph 4.5.	<p><b>New paragraph 4.5.</b> To read as follows:</p> <p><b><u>“Chapter 9 Core Policies for Places provides more detailed information on how the Council will work with its partners to achieve growth within these areas, including the provision of necessary social, physical and green infrastructure. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan supports the Council’s approach and forms a key part of the evidence base. This evidence while looking at the whole borough, also focuses on the nature, timing and funding of infrastructure required in delivering growth in each of</u></b></p>	Additional lower case text to provide clarity to Core Policy 1.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<u>these areas."</u>	
FMC 13	New paragraph 4.6.	<p>New paragraph 4.6. To read as follows:</p> <p><u>Central Leaside, North East Enfield and the North Circular Road Strategic Growth Areas lie close to the Borough boundary. The Council will work with its partners at the sub-regional level through for example, the North London Strategic Alliance (the sub-regional strategic partnership) and at local level through the Enfield Strategic Partnership and the Area Partnerships to maximise benefits to communities. The Council will also continue the ongoing informal dialogue with adjoining boroughs and stakeholders to establish cross boundary needs arising from respective emerging Local</u></p>	Additional lower case text to make reference to cross boundary borough needs.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><b><u>Development Frameworks. Appendix 5 provides details of adjoining Borough policies and developments that inform this Core Strategy.</u></b></p>	
FMC 14	Spatial Strategy	<p><b>Clarification:</b> The Council suggest inserting additional text to the spatial strategy.</p> <p>Spatial Strategy: Enfield's valuable reservoir of strategically important industrial land in the east of the Borough will be safeguarded and managed <b><u>in accordance with the London Plan and its Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008)</u></b> to meet the needs of modern industry in an efficient and sustainable way.</p>	Additional clarity is suggested by the respondent, National Grid Property Holdings to ensure that the intention of the Core Strategy is in accordance with the provisions set out in the London Plan and its associated Supplementary Planning Guidance on Industrial Capacity (2008).
FMC 15	Core Policy 3	<p>The third paragraph of CP3 to be amended and the final sentence of the final paragraph of CP3 be deleted to avoid misinterpretation.</p> <p>In order to determine the precise</p>	The overall approach and policy intent is to provide balanced communities borough-wide and not single out addressing balance within areas of high social housing.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>number of affordable housing units to be delivered for each development, the Council will <b><u>plan for balanced and sustainable communities and</u></b> work with developers and other partners to agree an appropriate figure, taking into consideration site-specific land values, grant availability and viability assessments, market conditions, as well as the relative importance of other planning priorities and obligations on the site. The Council will monitor the implementation of these targets and identify any need to review them via the preparation of the Annual Monitoring Report.</p> <p>The Council will aim for a borough-wide affordable housing tenure mix ratio of 70% social rented and 30% intermediate provision. <del>In areas where there are existing high levels of social housing, it may be appropriate to seek a higher proportion of intermediate housing provision in order to create sustainable and balanced communities, in both social and economic terms.</del></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 16	Core Policy 4	<p>Amend the first paragraph of CP4 to read as follows:</p> <p><b><u>'High quality design</u></b> Excellence and sustainability will be required in all new homes. New housing developments should take account of the design an construction policies and sustainable design and construction guidance set out in the London Plan and should seek to exceed the Code for Sustainable Homes Level 3.....'</p>	Minor change proposed following representation by National Grid Property holdings.
FMC 17	Core Policy 6	<p>Amend 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph to Core Policy 6 to read:</p> <p><b><u>The Council will work to ensure that there is appropriate provision of specialist accommodation across all tenures.</u></b> Criteria for assessing applications for housing to meet particular needs, having regard to need and supply will be set out in the Development Management Document. ....</p>	Additional clarity.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 18	Paragraph 5.66	<p>Recommend amended wording to paragraph 5.66:</p> <p>The infrastructure requirements set out in the above Core Policy have been based on an assessment of need informed by a) the GLA's <del>2007</del> <b>2009</b> pupil projections for Enfield <del>(28)</del> and b) the estimated child yield arising from additional housing growth proposed within each of the strategic growth areas. The Core Policy will help to deliver the necessary primary and secondary schools to accommodate pupils arising from the levels of growth being proposed.</p>	The change will bring the Core Strategy into line with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
FMC 19	Core Policy 17	<p>Amend the final paragraph of Core Policy 17 to read as follows:</p> <p>"The Council will work with its partners to support town centre management initiatives which enhance the vitality and viability of centres, <del>and</del> improve the quality of the environment, <b><u>and conserve and enhance the historic, archaeological and architectural heritage</u></b> within them.</p>	Minor change proposed to give clarity to Core Policy 17 following guidance issued in PPS4.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 20	Core Policy 20	<p>Suggest inserting additional text to Core Policy 20:</p> <p>The Council will set local standards and targets, based on an understanding of local potential and opportunities for renewable or low carbon energy and existing or planned decentralised energy infrastructure. Where opportunities are identified, development will be required to contribute to realising these opportunities <b><u>subject to the Council and its partners undertaking further work that is required to explore the feasibility and development potential projects or strategies to take them forward</u></b></p>	Additional clarity to ensure that the intention of the Policy is subject to detailed feasibility work.
FMC 21	Spatial Strategy, Paragraph 2 under "Improving Quality of Life"	<p>Amend Spatial Strategy, Paragraph 2 under "<b>Improving Quality of Life</b>"</p> <p>Sufficient waste management facilities will be retained and safeguarded to ensure Enfield plays its part alongside six other North London boroughs in dealing with north London's waste and developing the North London Joint Waste Plan. The Edmonton EcoPark is ideally located to continue to play a strategic role in waste management.</p>	NLWA requests the Spatial Strategy be revised to confirm the position in relation to the EcoPark. To address representation raised by NLWA reference: 40/01/T/0.



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>New more sustainable waste movement and treatment processes will be supported on the site <b><u>including the future decommissioning of the incinerator.</u></b> <del>following the end of the current incinerator's lifespan.</del></p>	
FMC 22	Core Policy 30	<p>Core Policy 30: Amend the 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet as follows:</p> <p>Build on <b><u>and extend</u></b> <del>work of the</del> Enfield Characterisation Study to investigate wider urban design issues, and identify: ".....</p> <p>And amend the 4<sup>th</sup> sub bullet as follows:</p> <p>Areas appropriate, <b><u>inappropriate and sensitive to</u></b> <del>for</del> tall buildings, including consideration of the strategic growth areas located in the Upper Lee Valley Opportunity Area. <b><u>These areas will be mapped and policies will be developed as part of the Development Management DPD.</u></b></p>	<p>To clarify the Council's commitment to further work, and to specify where this work will take place.</p> <p>To more accurately reflect the advice of the English Heritage/CABE Guidance on Tall Buildings (2007).</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 23	Paragraph 8.25	<p>Amend the penultimate sentence of paragraph 8.25 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>“However, these benefits may equally be achieved through other means and</u></b> the suitability of these areas <b><u>for tall buildings</u></b> may depend on the provision of sufficient transport infrastructure and a more detailed analysis of <b><u>the</u></b> potential impacts on their surroundings, <b><u>including local character, in accordance with Policy 4B.1 of the London Plan</u></b>”</p> <p>Amend the final sentence of paragraph 8.25 as follows:</p> <p>Therefore, <del>the suitability for</del> <b><u>areas appropriate, sensitive or inappropriate for tall buildings will be considered in the</u></b> <del>relevant area action plan</del> <b><u>Development Management DPD, and more detailed work will be conducted to inform proposals in relevant area action plans</u></b> <del>and. This</del> will be informed by the work set out above.</p>	<p>Remove ambiguity regarding approach to tall buildings and clarify approach to setting out the framework for appropriate, inappropriate and sensitive to tall buildings in line with the English Heritage/CABE Guidance on Tall Buildings and the London Plan.</p> <p>Clarify approach to Upper Lea Valley Opportunity Area</p> <p>More closely reflect the requirements of the London Plan.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 24	Paragraph 8.42	<p>Amend paragraph 8.42 as follows:</p> <p>The number of assets on English Heritage's Heritage at Risk Register will be monitored and the Council will work with partners to reduce the number <del>of buildings</del> <b>assets</b> at risk in the Borough."</p>	Clarification needed that the Council will work with partners to reduce any asset identified on the register, such as buildings at risk.
FMC 25	Core Policy 38	<p>Amend the final paragraph of CP38 to read as follows:</p> <p>A coordinated strategy to managing flood risk in accordance with Core Policy 28 and a co-ordinated strategy for the decommissioning of <del>surplus</del> gas holders and other contaminated land in the area in line with Core Policy 32.'</p>	Minor change proposed to following representation by National Grid Property holdings.
FMC 26	Core Policy 31	<p>Amend the 4<sup>th</sup> bullet point as follows:</p> <p>Ensuring developments in areas of archaeological importance take into account the potential for new finds by requiring consultation with English Heritage and on-site investigations, <b><u>including the appropriate recording and dissemination of archaeological evidence.</u></b></p>	EH recommends that the 4th bullet of the policy requires the recording appropriate dissemination of archaeological evidence

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 27	Core Policy 41	<p>Amend Core Policy 41, 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet as follows:</p> <p>High quality new development that complements the <del>historic buildings and features</del> <b>heritage assets and historic environment</b> of Ponders End, such as the listed Middlesex University building in Ponders End Central and Ponders End Flour Mill at Ponders End Waterfront.</p>	<p>Replace "historic buildings and features" with "Heritage Assets and the Historic Environment"</p>
FMC 28	Core Policy 42	<p>Amend Core Policy 42, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph as follows:</p> <p>The Council will seek to retain Enfield Town's market town character and protect its heritage assets, <b>their setting and the historic environment</b>, whilst maximising development opportunities to enhance the retail function of the centre to better serve the retail and community needs of the Borough and beyond.</p>	<p>Expand reference to heritage assets to heritage assets and their settings.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 29	Paragraph 7.45	<p>Add a further bullet point to paragraph 7.45 as follows:</p> <p>The Core Strategy seeks to address the following key transport issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Provide a framework by which transport proposals are appropriate to their context, complement local character and are designed in accordance with the principles set out in Core Policy 30.</u></b></li> </ul>	Greater emphasis that transport proposals to be of quality design that respects the local context and character.
FMC 30	Paragraph 2.68	<p>Amend Paragraph 2.68 as follows:</p> <p>Enfield has much in common with other outer London boroughs, but its rich diversity and distinctiveness arises from its particular location and topography, landownership patterns and its response to the social, economic and political changes that have affected Greater London. One of its main distinguishing characteristics is the contrast between the parkland setting to the north west and the industrial band to the east, arising from its topography. Others include a significant number of important historic buildings, estates, parks and gardens; a</p>	Greater emphasis on environmental context.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>network of former village centres; a network of rivers and waterways; groupings of 19th Century housing that arrived with the railway and inter-war housing that arrived with the Underground. <b><u>Many of these features are important and valued heritage assets. Just one example of this is provided by the Borough's</u></b> 21 conservation areas, reflecting early country estates, old town and village centres, nineteenth century railway-centred development, the Borough's industrial past and other important local townscapes. For much of the rest of the Borough, good urban environments enhance residents' quality of life. But in other areas former land uses or patterns of development have resulted in poor quality environments. Factors such as traffic and parking congestion, the decline in local and independent retail facilities and the effects of air and noise pollution contribute to a deteriorating quality of life for some residents.</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 31	Chapter 2 Key Issues New Bullet Point	<p>Insert additional bullet point under Key Issues.</p> <p><b><u>“Promote the protection and enhancement of the Borough’s waterways by improving water quality and ecological diversity through the River Basin Management Plan.”</u></b></p>	Environment Agency recommends reference be made to improving water quality and ecological diversity.
FMC 32	Chapter 2 Key Issues Bullet point 8	<p>Insert additional words in bullet point 8:</p> <p>“Protecting, enhancing and improving access to Enfield’s green and open areas, <b><u>including enhancing biodiversity and linking habitats via wildlife corridors</u></b> particularly in areas deficient in open space in the east and south of the Borough”.</p>	Environment Agency recommend that bullet 8 goes beyond green spaces and include enhancing biodiversity and the linking of habitats via wildlife corridors to allow for migration as climate changes.
FMC 33	Chapter 2 Key Issues Bullet point 13	<p>Insert additional wording to bullet point 13:</p> <p>Responding to the local causes and impacts of climate change and addressing other environmental issues likely to affect Enfield by, for example, responding to flood risk in the Upper Lee Valley, <b><u>land contamination associated with historic industrial uses particularly in the east of the</u></b></p>	Environment Agency: Environmental quality in general is not mentioned as a key issue. We suggest that this could be merged with the point above to include land contamination. In addition this section does not identify the need to manage the effects of historic industrial use for the protection of human health, controlled waters and other important receptors as a key issue.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><b>Borough</b>, developing the Council's sustainable design and construction policies, securing sustainable utility infrastructure provision for future developments, and actively planning with the other North London boroughs to provide sufficient land in the sub region to deal with waste;</p>	
FMC 34	Strategic Objective 4	<p>Insert additional word:</p> <p>To ensure new residential development is supported by good public transport, social, <b>green</b> and utilities infrastructure and achieve the maximum intensity of use having regard to development plan policy.</p>	Reference to green infrastructure.
FMC 35	Strategic Objective 9	<p>Insert addition word:</p> <p><b>Natural environment</b> - To protect and enhance Enfield's natural heritage by retaining the open character of the Borough, safeguarding the green belt and other open space and developing the wider network of green infrastructure. To meet the deficiencies in open spaces that exists in the east and south of the Borough and improve access to</p>	Meet representations made by the Environment Agency.



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>green areas and waterways <b><u>(i.e. through River Restoration projects)</u></b> particularly for the communities close to the Lee Valley Regional Park.</p> <p>We support this objective and again suggest that reference is made to green infrastructure. We recommend that the last sentence of the objective be amended as follows:</p>	
FMC 36	Strategic Objective 10	<p>Insert additional words:</p> <p><b>Built environment</b> - Use a design-led approach to developments and places, <b><u>while addressing historic land contamination</u></b>, to promote a step-change in the quality of the built environment and public realm, creating safe and accessible environments and improve urban greening with tree planting and landscaping. To maximise the contribution that heritage assets and existing features make, enhance local distinctiveness and identity, and create safer, stronger communities.</p>	<p>To meet Environment Agency recommendation that the section titled 'Improving Quality of life' makes reference to contaminated land remediation, ecological enhancement and the Water Framework Directive and River Basin Management Plan.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 37	<b>Spatial Strategy</b> 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph under <b>'Improving quality of life':</b>	Insert additional words in 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph under <b>'Improving quality of life':</b>  ....'Parts of the Upper Lee Valley are at medium to high risk from flooding and comprehensive redevelopment of the core areas will provide opportunities <b><u>to remediate contaminated land</u></b> , renew <b><u>and enhance</u></b> existing flood defences <b><u>and rivers</u></b> , thereby supporting the objectives of the Environment Agency's Thames Catchment Flood Management Plan <b><u>and meet objectives of the Water Framework Directive, River Basin Management Plan.</u></b>	Recommendation by EA that makes reference to contaminated land remediation, ecological enhancement and the Water Framework Directive and River Basin Management Plan.
FMC 38	<b>Paragraph 7.17</b>	Insert additional wording  7.17 The London Plan notes that water is an increasingly scarce resource for which there is rising demand. Hotter drier summers due to climate change combined with the predicted growth in Enfield's population may increase overall water demand, whilst reducing availability <b><u>and placing further pressure on water quality.</u></b> Higher	Recommendation by EA to include additional words to recognise implications of peak rainfall events and increased development.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		intensity rainfall events <b>and increased development</b> are also likely to increase <b>surface run-off</b> , foul and storm water discharge and make it more difficult to retain rainfall for utilisation.	
FMC 39	Core Policy CP28	Insert after the 2 bullet points within the policy:  <b><u>"The Sequential Approach, Exception Test , and all other requirements of PPS25 will still need to be applied to individual developments"</u></b>	Recommendation by EA that the policy should contain some recognition that, where appropriate, compliance of part c of the Exception Test is required in order for developments to be considered appropriate from a flood risk perspective.
FMC 40	Core Policy CP32	Insert additional text in 2nd bullet point within the policy:  Ensure that water quality will not be compromised, and to secure, where appropriate, improvements to water quality. The Council will work with partners, particularly the Environment Agency, to seek improvements to the water environment <b><u>in adherence with the Water Framework Directive and as per the programme of measures set within the Thames</u></b>	Recommendation by EA that 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet point needs to be strengthened to say that the Council will be working towards achieving good ecological status in all water bodies in adherence with the Water Framework Directive and as per the programme of measures set within the Thames River Basin Management Plan.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<u>River Basin Management Plan.</u>	
FMC 41	Core Policy CP35	<p>Insertion within 1st sentence of CP35</p> <p>The Council will work with the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority, British Waterways, riparian owners <b><u>the Environment Agency</u></b> and other partners to:.....</p>	The Environment Agency should be stated as a partner in this section.
FMC 42	Core Policy CP37	<p>Insertion within final sentence of CP37</p> <p>....Opportunities will be taken to improve, <b><u>restore</u></b>, and open up access to the Lee Valley Regional Park and waterfront through promoting opportunity areas along the Borough's eastern boundary.:.....</p>	EA recommend the last sentence of this policy is amended to include the word 'restore'.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 43	Core Policy CP38	<p>Insertion of new bullet points within CP38</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b><u>Restoration of the waterways which run through the development site as part of an integrated approach to water management in Meridian Water.</u></b></li> <li>▪ <b><u>Development should achieve efficiency target of 105 l per person per day in line with the Code for Sustainable Homes.</u></b></li> </ul>	<p>EA recommendation that a bullet point is included to ensure the restoration of all waterways which run through the development site.</p> <p>In addition EA recommend that a bullet point referencing water efficiency target of 105 l per person per day is included as a minimum.</p>
FMC 44	Table 10.2	<p>Insertion of additional measure under:</p> <p>32. Pollution.</p> <p><b>Percentage of Contaminated land brought back into beneficial use.</b></p>	<p>EA recommendation that table 10.2 include measure or indicator for the amount of Contaminated land brought back into beneficial use.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 45	Spatial Strategy	<p>Insert a new paragraph under <b><u>"Implementation"</u></b></p> <p><b><u>A holistic approach will be adopted to ensure that the delivery of new housing, education, community infrastructure, employment and transport improvements in an area are considered as a whole rather than being planned for and provided independently of each other. Delivery of social, economic, and physical change can only be achieved by building social and economic capital. The Council will work with a range of partners including the public, private and third sector to deliver the strategy, and will help to build the capacity amongst partners including the voluntary sector to deliver change.</u></b></p>	In response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 46	Table 5.1	In Table 5.1 supporting CP2 Housing Supply include reference to <b>“including New Southgate”</b> within North Circular housing supply figures.	To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation.
FMC 47	Core Policy 9	<p>Insertion of new bullet point to CP9</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Supporting area based policy interventions relating to the place shaping agenda which seek to tackle social disadvantage;</li> <li>• Promoting accessibility whereby all members of the community have access to good quality health care, housing, education and training, employment, open space and other social facilities in locations that best serve the community;</li> <li>• Contributing towards reducing crime, fear of crime and anti-social behaviour by using design principles that create environments which promote community safety and</li> </ul>	To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>discourage offending, in accordance with Core Policy 30; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Actively encouraging participation by all members of the community in planning and decision making processes</li> <li>• <b><u>Requiring the provision of necessary community facilities to support local need within the delivery of Core Policies 37- 45.</u></b></li> </ul>	
FMC 48	Core Policy 40	<p>Insertion of additional text at the end of 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph in CP40.</p> <p>Across the North East Enfield area, there is scope to develop 1,000 new homes (see Core Policy 2 on Housing Supply and Locations for New Homes). The focus for change and development, and hence the majority of these new homes, will be in Ponders End (see Core Policy 41 on Ponders End below), where there is a string of key opportunity sites along an east-west axis. In the west, in the heart of Ponders End local centre, there is a cluster of sites including the former Middlesex University</p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>campus, adjacent Queensway employment area, land around Tesco and sites along Hertford Road, referred to as "Ponders End Central". In the middle, along South Street, there are opportunities at the gasholder site on South Street, around Ponders End railway station, and at Alma Estate. This collection of sites is referred to as "Ponders End South Street Campus". In the east, near the Lee Valley Regional Park, development opportunities exist at Columbia Wharf and at the southern part of Brimsdown, collectively referred to as "Ponders End Waterfront". <b><u>The interdependencies of these sites mean that their future should be considered and planned for in a holistic way to ensure that the cumulative social, economic and transport impacts are thoroughly assessed.</u></b></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 49	Core Policy 40 New Paragraph	<p>Insert new paragraph after paragraph 3 of CP40.</p> <p><b><u>The Council will work with the Enfield Strategic Partnership to Develop an Area Partnership for wider North East Enfield and bring forward a Single Implementation Plan.</u></b></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>
FMC 50	New Paragraph 9.42	<p>Insert new paragraph after 9.41 within the "Implementation" section supporting CP40.</p> <p><b><u>Working closely with Enfield Strategic Partnership, the Council is to establish a wider Area Partnership that will collectively begin to establish a vision and a Single Implementation Plan to coordinate place shaping in the wider North East Enfield area. The Area Partnership will maximise the synergies between liveability and as well as physical regeneration initiatives.</u></b></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 51	Core Policy 44 New Paragraph	<p>Insert new paragraph after paragraph 1 of CP44 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>The Council will work with the Enfield Strategic Partnership to Develop an Area Partnership for wider North East Enfield and bring forward a collective vision and single Implementation Plan.</u></b></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>
FMC 52	New Paragraph 9.62	<p>Insert new paragraph after 9.61 within the "Implementation" section supporting CP45.</p> <p><b><u>Working closely with Enfield Strategic Partnership, the Council is to establish a wider Area Partnership that will collectively begin to establish a vision and a single Implementation Plan to coordinate place shaping in the wider North East Enfield area. The Area Partnership will maximise the synergies between liveability and as well as physical regeneration initiatives.</u></b></p>	<p>To provide additional clarity in response to Local Labour Group representation. Agreed minor change with Council administration.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 53	Core Policy 38	Reference to Figure 9.4 should be corrected to state ' <b>Map 9.3</b> '	Minor correction in response to National Grid Property Holdings representation.
FMC 54	Paragraph 8.68	<p>Clarification:</p> <p>The Council <del>Development Management</del> DPD will set out criteria for the review <b><u>and undertake a detailed review</u></b> of green belt boundaries in accordance with PPG2 and the local character. This work will inform the Development Management DPD.</p>	At hearing session Day 1 the Council agreed to provide additional clarity on the future review of the green belt boundaries.
FMC 55	Core Policy 7, new paragraph to follow paragraph 5.61	<p><b>Additional paragraph to follow paragraph 5.61</b> - to read as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Provision of primary care and adult health and social care will be the subject of ongoing discussions with the PCT and other health care providers as a means to establish future requirements. Any changes to health care provision will be highlighted in updates of the</u></b></p>	Additional lower text to add clarity to the policy.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><b><u>Infrastructure Delivery Plan and the Council's Annual Monitoring Report.</u></b></p>	
FMC 56	CP40: North East Enfield	<p><b>Core Policy 40:</b></p> <p>Social and community infrastructure to support an expanding population will be accommodated. This includes a large new health practice in Innova Park and the expansion of Eagle House surgery in Ponders End (see Core Policy 7). A new Academy will be developed in Ponders End, replacing Albany secondary school, and allowing the Albany School site to be developed for residential or continued educational uses, depending on the Council's future education requirements (see Core Policy 8). The local retail centres of Ponders End, Enfield Highway and Enfield Wash will be enhanced to improve the shopping experience. Sites will be identified in Ponders End to accommodate retail uses in accordance with Core Policies 17 and</p>	To address representation raised by British Waterways reference: 2/22/CP40/3.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>18. <u>Enhanced access to and quality of recreation and leisure opportunities will also be promoted.</u></p>	
FMC 57	Table 10.1	<p><b>Proposed change to Table 10.1:</b></p> <p>“Green Infrastructure” column re-titled to include “<b>Blue</b>” infrastructure.</p> <p>Amend “Green Infrastructure” column (6-10years phase) to read:</p> <p>Improve access <b>to and enhance quality of Lee Valley Regional Park and Waterways</b></p> <p>Amend “Green Infrastructure” column (11-15 years phase) to read:</p> <p>Improve access to <b>and enhance quality of Lee Valley Regional Park and Waterways</b></p>	To address representation raised by British Waterways reference: 2/24/T/2.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 58	Core Policy 21:	<p><b>Additional text to Core Policy 21:</b></p> <p><b><u>In order to improve water quality in the Borough</u></b>, during the life of this Plan, Thames Water Utilities Ltd plan to improve / redevelop Deephams Sewage Treatment Works.</p>	To address representation raised by Thames Water reference: 47/03/CP21/2.
FMC 59	Paragraph 8.49	<p><b>change to paragraph 8.49:</b></p> <p>Water quality can be improved through a number of measures including the effective design, construction and operation of sewerage systems <b><u>and sewage treatment plant</u></b>, .....</p>	To address representation raised by Thames Water reference 47/06/CP32/2.
FMC 60	Core Policy 22	<p>Amended text to CP22:</p> <p>Continuing to support the use of Edmonton EcoPark as a strategic waste site and working with the NLWA and London Waste <del>the site operator to maximise the use of the site with more sustainable and efficient</del> <b><u>the site operator to maximise the use of the site with more sustainable and efficient</u></b> <del>secure a new more sustainable and efficient use of the site after the current incinerator is decommissioned.</del> <b><u>waste management processes including the future decommissioning of</u></b></p>	To address representation raised by NLWA reference: 40/02/CP22/0.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<u>the current incinerator....</u>	
FMC 61	Core Policy 37	<p>Insert additional text:</p> <p>The majority of the Central Leaside area will retain its industrial and employment character (see Core Policy 14). The Strategic Industrial Locations of Eley, Aztec 406, Montagu (the northern part), Kenninghall and Harbet Road estates, as well as the Locally Significant Industrial Sites; The Claverings Estate and the southern part of the Montagu Industrial Area, will be retained and intensified.</p> <p><b><u>Waste management will be supported at the Edmonton EcoPark site in line with Core Policy 22....</u></b></p>	To address representation raised by NLWA reference: 40/03/CP37/0.



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 62	<p><b>Core Policy 23 paragraphs 7.40, 7.42 and new paragraph after 7.42</b></p>	<p>Minor changes proposed to both supporting text and Core Policy.</p> <p><b>7.40 <u>It is understood that</u></b> workable land-based reserves of aggregates in Enfield are <b>largely</b> depleted. There may be potential for dredging sand and gravel from the King George V Reservoir, but the feasibility of extraction is currently unknown.</p> <p><b>CORE POLICY 23</b></p> <p><b>AGGREGATES</b> The Council will continue to work with its partners, including the London Aggregates Working Party, to identify potential sources of aggregates in the Borough. These sources will be protected providing that exploitation can be shown to be both feasible and desirable. <del>(for example, when considered against the environmental impact of such operations).</del></p> <p><b>Justification</b> <b>7.41</b> Initial indications from Thames Water are that there are no plans for the extraction of aggregates from the King George V reservoir, but they have been unable to confirm this and</p>	<p>At hearing session Day 1 the Council agreed to provide additional clarity to overcome concerns raised by Lee Valley Regional Park Authority in reference to the King V Reservoir and environmental considerations.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>the impact of such operations upon the environmental qualities of the site, which is a Site of Special Scientific Interest, would need to be carefully assessed. There are no other known potential sources of aggregates within the Borough.</p> <p><b>Implementation</b>  <b>7.42</b> The Council will continue to engage with the London Aggregates Working Party regarding potential aggregate resources in the Borough. The Council will also continue to engage with Thames Water <b><u>and the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority</u></b> to establish the <b><u>acceptability of</u></b> aggregate extraction in the King George V Reservoir. <b><u>This will be assessed against a range of matters which include the need to consider the degree of impact on the wildlife of the reservoir given its designations as a SSSI and the wider amenity of the Regional Park.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Should further aggregate resources be identified in the Borough, the feasibility of extraction would be assessed</u></b></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>against a number of considerations including national and regional planning policy guidance, an adequate and steady supply of minerals to meet demand and the impact of operations on the environment, local character and amenity .If proven, these sites will be identified on the Proposals Map and protected through policies in the Development Management Document.</u></p>	
FMC 63	Core Policy 38	<p>Insert new text within 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of policy and delete text in brackets in 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point as follows:</p> <p><del>In</del> <b><u>Based on the evidence of initial growth scenarios</u></b> in the Meridian Water Place Shaping Priority Area (see boundary in <b><u>Map 9.3</u></b> <del>Figure 9.4</del>), the objectives of new development will be to create a new community by 2026 with up to 5,000 new homes, 1,500 new jobs and all the necessary infrastructure to support the community and attract families and new employers to the area, including:</p>	<p>At hearing session Day 2 the Council agreed to provide a FMC to overcome concerns of the need for clarity and flexibility in terms of the principles established in the policy, as raised by La Salle Investment Management.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Approximately 80% of the area should comprise a mix of residential, retail, community uses and open spaces, with 20% of the area <del>(no less than 5.5ha)</del> as revitalised employment uses, integrated into the wider development;</li> </ul>	
FMC 64	Core Policy 14	<p>Reference relating to Montagu Industrial Area</p> <p>Name of industrial area Montagu Industrial Area (northern section) <del>including Kenninghall Estate and Rail Track Land</del></p> <p>Area of site to the nearest hectare <del>12</del> <b>10 ha</b></p>	<p>In light of recommendations from the Employment Land Study Update report (2009), and following discussions between the Council and the GLA in terms of reviewing SIL boundaries, it has been recommended that the area should be retained for employment uses, but the southern part (area covered by the former rail sidings at Rays Road and Kenninghall Estate) could potential be considered as part of a comprehensive masterplan for Meridian Water.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 65	<b>Core Policy 14: Safeguarding Strategic Industrial Locations</b>	<p>Reference relating to Harbet Road (Lee Valley Trading Estate) within CP14 to read:</p> <p>Name of industrial area: Harbet Road <b>Industrial Area</b> (<u>covering</u> Lee Valley Trading Estate, <b>Hastingwood Trading Estate and Stonehill Business Park</b>)</p>	Consistency with the Employment Land Studies
FMC 66	<b>Core Policy 14</b>	<p>Reference relating to: Great Cambridge Road Industrial Area and Martinbridge Trading Estate</p> <p>Name of industrial area Great Cambridge Road <del>Industrial Area</del> and Martinbridge Trading Estate</p> <p>Replace with the following Great Cambridge Road <b>(part)</b> and Martinbridge Trading Estate <b>(part)</b></p>	Consistency with the London Plan. Only part of Martinbridge Trading Estate is to be retained as IBP
FMC 67	<b>Core Policy 14</b>	<p>Reference relating to: Total: 311*</p> <p>Total: 311-<b>309*</b></p> <p>* this measurement is the gross employment <del>floorspace</del> <b>area</b>, excluding main roads and amenity areas.</p>	<p>The southern part of Montagu Industrial Area has been excluded from SIL, but included as LSIS.</p> <p>To provide clarity.</p>

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 68	Core Policy 15	<p>Reference relating to The southern part of Montagu Industrial Area to read:</p> <p>Replace with:  The southern part of Montagu Industrial Area <b><u>(includes the former rail sidings at Rays Road and Kenninghall Estate)</u></b></p>	To provide clarity.
FMC 69	Core Policy 15	<p>Reference relating to: Footnote 40: Replace with:</p> <p>Further details of the review of Strategic Industrial Locations is set out in Enfield's review of Employment Designations – Further Background to the Submission Core Strategy (<del>December 2009</del> <b><u>May 2010</u></b>)</p>	Report was updated and agreed in May 2010.
FMC 70	Glossary	<p>Glossary to include the following definition:</p> <p><b><u>Third Sector: A term used to describe the collection of non-governmental organisations that are value driven and principally reinvest their surpluses to further social, environmental or cultural objectives. This includes voluntary and community</u></b></p>	Definition provided for clarity.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>organisations, charities, social enterprises, cooperatives and mutuels and housing associations.</u></p>	
FMC 71	Foreword	<p>Replace all text with the following.</p> <p><b><u>By Councillor Del Goddard Lead Member for Regeneration and Improving Localities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Enfield Council has had a longstanding commitment to produce a document that sets out the Council's overall ambitions and aspirations for Enfield's future between now, 2026 and beyond. This document is known as the Core Strategy. It is the lead document within the Council's New Local Development Framework which will replace the existing Unitary Development Plan.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>With this adopted Core Strategy there comes greater confidence in facilitating the delivery of</u></b></p>	Change in Lead Member.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>sustainable communities through coordinating social, economic, physical and green infrastructure. This document marks a significant milestone that enables the Council to put into practise its vision for a prosperous and sustainable borough.</u></p> <p><u>Our LDF is built on a thorough understanding of the local challenges and opportunities facing Enfield. It is informed by extensive research, feasibility studies and the participation of local communities and others who have a stake in the future of the borough. This means we have strong evidence based policies to guide the creation of new homes, jobs and services essential to support Enfield's growing communities and improve the quality of life for existing residents.</u></p> <p><u>Enfield's Core Strategy focuses change in the areas of the borough that need it most – the south and east. It also aims to protect and enhance those parts</u></p>	



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>of the borough which already offer a good quality of life to residents. The Council has a community leadership role to play and is working with our partners in the private, public and third sectors to deliver a programme of transformational change. Changes that will really make a difference to the lives of the people who live, work and study in Enfield, making sure that everyone has access to the same opportunities and can really see improvements in their quality of life.</u></p> <p><u>This Core Strategy gives us certainty over development in the borough over the coming years and is based on a shared understanding of our commitments and priorities, and those of our partners, for the long term. Many issues cross borough boundaries and this Core Strategy and other LDF documents will help facilitate joint working with neighbouring authorities.</u></p> <p><u>The strategy also provides the</u></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><u>flexibility to respond to current and future social and economic challenges and puts us in a strong position to take the fullest advantage of investment opportunities in Enfield as well strengthening our role in the opportunity area of the Upper Lee Valley and North London as a whole.</u></p>	
FMC 72	Introduction	<p>Changes to the Introduction section of the document.</p> <p><b>1 Introduction</b></p> <p><b>1.1</b> Enfield has much to offer - our cultural diversity, heritage, proximity to Central London and to open countryside make it a good place to live, work, study and do business. Enfield is growing and changing, our population and diversity is increasing but so too is the gap between the prosperous and deprived neighbourhoods of the Borough. This represents a major challenge for the Borough and one which will guide the</p>	Update the document post pre-submission and submission stage.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>strategies and plans of the Council and its partners in planning for Enfield's future.</p> <p><b>1.2</b> Enfield's Sustainable Community Strategy was revised by the Enfield Strategic Partnership in 2009. The Partnership is made up of a wide spectrum of local public, private, voluntary and community organisations including the Council, police and health providers. The Partnership is committed to making Enfield a healthy, prosperous and cohesive community living in a borough that is safe, clean and green, through social progress that recognises everyone's needs, effective protection of the environment, prudent use of natural resources, and promoting economic growth and employment opportunities for Enfield residents.</p> <p><b>1.3</b> The Partnership has adopted a proactive place shaping approach to deliver this commitment. Place shaping is about making the whole of Enfield a place of choice where people choose to live, work, learn and do business now and in the future. The Council is preparing a</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>Local Development Framework (LDF) which will provide the long-term spatial vision, policies and implementation programmes to deliver this commitment over the next 20 years. This means planning now for good quality new homes, shops and businesses, improved transport systems, and better employment opportunities. It means planning to conserve the Borough's attractive built heritage areas, parkland and open landscapes whilst enhancing the environment elsewhere to bring it up to the standard of the best. The LDF, together with the London Plan, will comprise the development plan for the Borough and will replace the Council's Unitary Development Plan (UDP).</p> <p><b>1.1 Enfield's Local Development Framework</b></p> <p><b>1.4</b> The Council is required to prepare the LDF by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Enfield's LDF will contain the following documents, the most important of which is the Core Strategy which sets out the Council's</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>strategy for planning in Enfield and provides the context for more detailed documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Core Strategy;</li> <li>▪ Proposals Map;</li> <li>▪ Sites Schedule;</li> <li>▪ Enfield Design Guide;</li> <li>▪ Development Management Document;</li> <li>▪ Enfield Town Area Action Plan and Masterplan for the area around Enfield Town station; North East Enfield Area Action Plan,</li> <li>▪ Ponders End Framework for Change and three Planning Briefs for the key sites in Ponders End;</li> <li>▪ Central Leaside Area Action Plan and Masterplan for Meridian Water;</li>   <li>▪ North Circular Area Action Plan and Masterplan for New Southgate; and</li> <li>▪ North London Joint Waste Plan.</li> </ul> <p><b>1.5</b> The relationship between these documents is set out in Appendix 1. Further details on the content, role and production timetable for each of</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>them is set out in the Council's Local Development Scheme <b>(1)</b>. Under the terms of the Act, most of the UDP's policies have been retained and will remain valid until they are superseded by the relevant parts of new LDF documents. The relationship between existing UDP policies and new LDF documents, and the UDP policies which are superseded by this Core Strategy are set out in Appendix 2.</p> <p><b>1.2 About this document</b></p> <p><b>1.6</b> This Core Strategy sets out a spatial planning framework for the long term development of the Borough for the next 15 to 20 years. It is a strategic document providing the broad strategy for the scale and distribution of development and the provision of supporting infrastructure, ensuring that investment decisions are not made in isolation but are properly coordinated to ensure development is sustainable. It contains core policies for delivering the spatial vision, guiding patterns of development and is supported by other development plan documents within the LDF such</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>as area action plans and a waste plan.</p> <p><b>1.7</b> The Council has been working in partnership with other infrastructure providers such as the Primary Care Trust and Transport for London in order to identify the range of physical, social, and green infrastructure required to facilitate the development set out in this Core Strategy, particularly in the strategic growth areas. Alongside the Core Strategy, the Council <b>has prepared</b> <del>is preparing</del> an <b>Infrastructure Delivery Plan</b>, which sets out the infrastructure required to support future housing provision and predicted population growth in the Borough, with details of when, where and by whom the infrastructure will be delivered. A summary of the core infrastructure phasing is provided in Chapter 10.</p> <p><b>1.8</b> The Core Strategy has evolved following earlier consultations on the key issues and options for the Borough in 2007 and the Council's preferred options in 2008. The Issues and Options Report looked at the problems, challenges, opportunities,</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>issues and the potential options to deal with them. The Preferred Options Report took this further and set out the Council's suggested strategic spatial planning policies. Further consultation on the preferred options for strategic growth areas in the Borough in 2009 helped to refine the strategy. <b><u>Pre-submission consultation took place in December 2009 and the Council formally submitted the Core Strategy in March 2010. Public hearings sessions were held in June and July and the Inspector's binding report was received on the ?? The Council adopted the Core Strategy at Full Council on the 10<sup>th</sup> November 2010. Theis adopted Core Strategy Submission version reflects a continuous 5 year process and</u></b> has taken account of the extensive responses received during consultation on these previous stages and sets out the Council's spatial strategy for the Borough for the next 15 to 20 years.</p> <p><b>1.9</b> Each stage has been informed by an independent sustainability appraisal to evaluate the social,</p>	



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>economic and environmental effects of the suggested options and final preferred strategy. An Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) has been undertaken to ensure that the policies and proposals within the Report do not discriminate against specific groups within Enfield's communities. The report has also been subject to an Appropriate Assessment (also known as a Habitats Regulations Assessment) to ensure that the Core Strategy does not adversely impact upon nature conservation sites of European Importance (the Natura 2000 network and Ramsar sites). The LDF is also informed by a number of technical studies which contribute to the evidence underpinning the Core Strategy and other documents. Further information on the evidence base is set out in Appendix 4.</p> <p><b>1.10</b> The <del>final</del> Core Strategy <del>will be</del> <u>is accompanied by <b>a fully interactive, on-line Proposals Map in addition to a paper format</b></u></p> <p><del>Proposals Map</del> illustrating the boundaries of the various designations set out in the main body of the Report. <del>The designations</del></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><del>to be shown on the Proposals Map are set out in the illustrative maps in Appendix 7. Once the Submission Core Strategy is found to be sound it will be adopted and supported by a fully interactive, on-line Proposals Map in addition to a paper format.</del></p> <p><b>The Structure of this Report</b></p> <p><b>1.11</b> Chapter 2 contains a brief description of the national, regional and local policy and guidance which inform the Core Strategy. It then summarises the context for this report, giving a spatial overview of Enfield highlighting the key strategic planning issues.</p> <p><b>1.12</b> Chapter 3 “Enfield Spatial Strategy”, puts forward the Council’s spatial vision, strategic objectives and a spatial strategy for Enfield.</p> <p><b>1.13</b> Chapters 5 to 8 set out policies for specific themes such as housing, economic development, the physical environment and travel. Chapter 9 sets out more detailed policies for strategic growth areas in the Borough and the Council’s place shaping priority areas.</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p><b>1.14</b> Chapter 10 looks at how the Core Strategy will be delivered and monitored.</p> <p><del><b>1.3</b> How to make representations on this document</del></p> <p><del><b>1.15</b> This Core Strategy Submission Report and its accompanying Sustainability Appraisal are available to view at the following locations:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>▪ Enfield's Public Libraries</del></li> <li><del>▪ The Civic Centre, Enfield Town.</del></li> </ul> <p><del><b>1.16</b> The documents are also available to view on the Council's website at: www.enfield.gov.uk/core-strategy</del></p> <p><del><b>1.17</b> Representations are invited relating to issues of soundness on this document. To be <i>sound</i> a core strategy should be justified, effective and consistent which national policy. <i>Justified</i> means that the document must be founded on a robust and credible evidence base and is the most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable</del></p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>alternatives. <i>Effective</i> means that the document must be deliverable, flexible and able to be monitored.</p> <p><del>1.18</del> Representations can be made from Monday 14th December 2009 until Friday 29th January 2010. We would encourage you to send us your comments using the Council's on-line consultation system. On-line consultation is the easiest and most convenient way to make your comments. To access this system, view the document and submit your comments please use the following link:  <a href="http://consult.enfield.gov.uk/portal">http://consult.enfield.gov.uk/portal</a></p> <p><del>1.19</del> Alternatively, you may also make your comments in writing and send them to:</p> <p>The Planning Policy Team  Place Shaping and Enterprise  Department  London Borough of Enfield  Civic Centre  Silver Street  Enfield  EN1 3XA  Tel: 020 8379 1634 Or Fax: 020 8379</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>3887 Or email: <a href="mailto:ldf@enfield.gov.uk">ldf@enfield.gov.uk</a></p> <p><del>1.20</del> Please also remember to complete and return the equal opportunities monitoring form.</p> <p><del>1.21</del> <b>The closing date for comments is Friday 29th January 2010.</b></p> <p><del>1.22</del> <i>Please note that all comments made on the Core Strategy Submission Report will be made available for public inspection.</i></p> <p><del>What happens next</del></p> <p><del>1.23</del> Following this period the Council will submit the Core Strategy to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government who will arrange for it to have an independent examination. It is anticipated that this will take place in Spring 2010.</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
FMC 73	Appendix 5 Table 5.1	<p>Update table in several places.</p> <p>Page 184 Update column 1: Under Information Source:</p> <p><b>Hertsmere Borough Council Core Strategy <del>(December 2008)</del> <u>Submission 2009 (Withdrawn)</u></b></p> <p>Update table columns on page 185 <b>London Borough of Haringey</b></p> <p>Update Column 1: Information Source:</p> <p><b><u>Core Strategy Preferred Options Proposed Submission 2010</u></b></p> <p>Update Column 2: Relevant Policies and Development:</p> <p>Tottenham Hale and Haringey Heartlands are identified as the key growth areas, where future growth will be directed.</p> <p>Central Leaside is identified as a key growth area, with the majority lying within Enfield and a portion extending over Enfield's boundary to the south, to include employment</p>	Update status of adjoining borough's policies & developments.

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>land within Haringey.</p> <p>Major development is also proposed <b><u>at Northumberland Park as part of the overall investment in</u></b> Tottenham Hotspurs Football Ground which includes redevelopment of the stadium, retail, leisure and residential uses.</p> <p>Update Column 3: Cross Borough Implications:</p> <p>Development proposed in Haringey, <b><u>in particular in the Northumberland Park area (which relates most closely to the Enfield border) will have cross borough issues</u></b> for Enfield in terms of the transport network, and in the provision of green, community and physical infrastructure, for which a co-ordinated approach will enable the timely and efficient delivery of development, infrastructure and services.</p> <p>A high quality station square and state of the art public transport interchange at Tottenham Hale is proposed.</p>	

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		<p>TfL are working with Haringey Council <del>proposing</del> to return the Tottenham Hale Gyratory to two way working. <del>Consultation on this project is expected to commence in October 2009.</del></p> <p>Update table page 186</p> <p>Update column 1: Under Information Source</p> <p>Under <b>London Borough of Waltham Forest</b></p> <p><del>Core Strategy Issues &amp; Options (June 2008)</del> <b><u>Preferred Options (January 2010)</u></b></p> <p>Update Column 2: Relevant Policies and Developments Update:</p> <p>The Core Strategy Preferred Options <del>Issues and Options</del> Report was published in January 2010 <del>June 2008 and although at an early stage in its production,</del> and states that the focus for future development will be Blackhorse Lane, Walthamstow Town Centre, and the Northern Olympic Fringe.</p>	



Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Further Minor Change	Reason
		A strategic employment area is identified in the west of the Borough, within the Lea Valley, to provide for future employment growth.	