

**Schedule of Minor Changes – Post Publication Stage
The Enfield – Proposed Submission Core Strategy**



Re-published with referencing 13.04.2010

Minor Changes – Justification

In consideration of the representations received during the Publication stage of the Enfield Plan – Pre-submission Core Strategy the Council is minded to put forward the following minor changes for consideration by the Planning Inspector.

Minor changes represent one of more of the following:

- points of clarity
- factual corrections
- consistency
- typographical errors
- improved referencing / signposting

No direct changes have been made to the Pre-submission Core strategy as published on the 14th December 2009. The Council's intention is to submit this schedule to the Secretary of State alongside the following submission documents for the Inspector's consideration.

Submission Documents

- Pre-Submission Core Strategy
- Errata: Appendix 7: Illustrative Maps
- Pre-Submission Core Strategy Executive Summary
- Pre-Submission Sustainability Appraisal Report
- Pre-submission Consultation Statement
- Post-publication Consultation Statement – Main Issues Report
- Self Assessment of Soundness
- Schedule of Minor Changes – Post-Publication Stage
- Statement of Availability
- Infrastructure Delivery Plan

Schedule of Minor Changes

Text in Bold Print and underline Proposed insertion
~~Text with a strikethrough~~ Proposed deletion

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC 1	General referencing	English Heritage	'built heritage' should be amended to 'built heritage including its archaeology ... will continue to be protected and enhanced.	Clarification: Council would consider inserting additional wording of <u>including its archaeology</u> ... could be inserted.	N	N
PMC 2	Pg 24 Key Issues	Thames Water	5th bullet point should include a specific reference to infrastructure. Specifically the following is suggested: - 'Promoting the provision of key services and infrastructure to support existing and future Communities.	Clarification: Council would consider inserting additional <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 'Promoting the provision of key services and infrastructure to support existing and future Communities. 	N	N
PMC3	Pg 28 Spatial Vision	Environment Agency	Recommend that the last paragraph is amended to read	Clarification: Council would consider inserting additional wording <u>and green infrastructure</u>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>the following: 'Transformational change at Meridian Water will include new housing and employment opportunities together with transport, community and green infrastructure vital to support sustainable communities, and will stimulate the regeneration of surrounding communities including Edmonton and Northumberland Park in nearby Haringey.'</p>			
PMC4	Spatial Strategy Planning for Prosperity	NHS HUDU	<p>The source of the 10,000 new homes projection should be provided. The new housing target would total 11,200 additional dwellings over a 20 year period between 2011 and 2031. Core Policy 2 refers to the provision</p>	<p>Clarification: of housing figures for consistency: The Borough will plan for an increase in population from an estimated 285,100 in 2006 to approximately 309,500 by 2026. Over this period close to 11,000 over 10,000 new homes are predicted planned to be built and</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>of 11,000 new homes over a 15 year period 2010/11 to 2024/25. The housing growth figure should be clarified.</p> <p>It is important to agree housing and population projections as these form the basis of forecasting future social infrastructure needs.</p>	<p>the number of jobs will increase by up 6,000.</p>		
PMC5	Spatial Strategy Planning for Prosperity	Enfield Council	<p>Council would wish to amend text to clarify intention, by deleting the words "up to" and replacing with "a minimum of".</p>	<p>Clarification: of employment figures for consistency: The Borough will plan for an increase in population from an estimated 285,100 in 2006 to approximately 309,500 by 2026. Over this period close to 11,000 over 10,000 new homes are predicted planned to be built and the number of jobs will increase by a minimum of up 6,000.</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC6	Spatial Strategy North Circular area and New Southgate	NHS HUDU	Should mention the opportunity for improved health facilities to address cross-boundary needs with Barnet.	<p>Clarification: in first paragraph under "Implementation" to address cross borough needs:</p> <p>Overall this strategy represents a proactive and demanding agenda to help shape the future of the Borough. The Council and its partners will work together to coordinate and deliver it through a place shaping approach and a shared understanding of priorities and range of solutions needed to improve the well being and quality of life of all Enfield's communities, particularly those who are most disadvantaged. This will ensure that good social, utilities and transport infrastructure is provided, retained, improved or expanded where needed, <u>taking account of cross borough opportunities.</u></p>	N	N
PMC7	Spatial Strategy Implementation	NHS HUDU	We suggest that reference is made to the development management process (and a forthcoming	<p>Clarification: Council would consider taking opportunity to sign-post to relevant DPDs.</p> <p>Insert new paragraph after</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			Development Management Document) to achieve the plan priorities, secure good design and mitigate against negative impacts, and to the role of planning obligations to secure financial contributions towards infrastructure requirements.	paragraph 2: <u>The Council will bring forward a series of documents to provide detailed policy and supplementary guidance for implementation, including a Development Management Document, Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy and the Enfield Design Guide.</u>		
PMC8	Paragraph 5.10	Enfield Council	Paragraph should read as 70 sites being assessed not 68.	Correction: 5.10 A large site within the housing trajectory is defined as being 0.5ha in area or greater. A small site is less than 0.5ha in area. For the housing trajectory a total of 68 70 large and small sites were assessed to place them most accurately in the trajectory. Within small sites, only those yielding 10+ dwellings were contacted.	N	N
PMC9	Core Policy 3 AFFORDABLE HOUSING	National Grid Property Holdings	Core Policy 3 should refer explicitly to the GLA's affordable housing toolkit as an appropriate form of viability assessment. This is also consistent	Clarification: Recommend adding this in at paragraph. 5.24 under Implementation. 5.24 The Development Management DPD will set out details on the mechanisms for providing affordable	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>with Core Policy 46. To ensure the DPD is effective and consistent with national policy the following amended wording to Core Policy 3 is proposed:</p> <p>'...as well as the relative importance of other planning priorities and obligations on the site. The GLA's affordable housing toolkit will be used to calculate the viability of providing affordable housing and other planning contributions and abnormal costs associated with developing specific sites will be taken into account.'</p>	<p>housing and for determining applications. <u>In the interim the Council will continue to use the GLA's affordable housing toolkit to calculate the viability of providing affordable housing and other planning contributions and abnormal costs associated with developing specific sites will be taken into account.</u></p>		
PMC10	New Paragraph Core Policy 8 EDUCATION	Enfield Council	The Council would wish to update supporting under the Monitoring and Targets sub-section by way of inserting a	<p>New Paragraph before 5.72</p> <p><u>In common with many other education authorities in London, Enfield subscribes to the school</u></p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			new paragraph before paragraph 5.72.	<p><u>roll projections service of the Greater London Authority (GLA). Annual projections are prepared on the basis on the January Schools Census; in preparing these projections, the GLA receives its basic population data from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and information about housing developments from the Council. Most recent projections¹ have reflected not only the effects of increased housing and migration, but also a sharp and sustained increase in birth rates across London, with comparatively higher levels of growth in Outer London and specifically in Enfield. The Council is now considering the impact of the 2010 projections on Pupil Places Planning. Further work is underway to consider options for meeting increased demand, including the more efficient use of existing school sites and playing fields. New sites for schools will be set out in the Site Schedule DPD.</u></p>		

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC11	Paragraph 5.96 EMERGENCY AND ESSENTIAL SERVICES	CgMs Consulting on behalf of Metropolitan Police Service	The MPA recommends amending the wording to a) and b) as follows: a) delivering several police facilities that enable better public access to the police provision for neighbourhood policing teams which will ideally be located within town and local centres that are readily accessible. Neighbourhood police centres without a contact point do not have to be in accessible areas, and b) a new custody centre.	Clarification: 5.96 In 2007, the Metropolitan Police Authority produced an Asset Management Plan (AMP) (33) for Enfield which looks at the existing estate and local requirements for modern day policing. The AMP identifies that future operational needs will focus on a) delivering several police facilities 'shops' with provision for Safer Neighbourhood Teams (34) which will ideally be located within town and local centres that are readily accessible, and b) a new custody suite.	N	N
PMC12	Core Policy 11 Para 5.113 RECREATION, LEISURE, CULTURE AND ARTS	Lee Valley Regional Park	5.113 should be amended to refer to the strategic importance of Picketts Lock as a major leisure, sporting and entertainment node.	Clarification: Additional wording to Paragraph 5.113 accepted to add clarity. Within Enfield, the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority is investigating the potential of additional sports development at Pickett's Lock to complement the existing Lee Valley Athletics Centre, camping and Caravan site, golf centre and golf course. Current	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<p>regional and local guidance also identifies Pickett's Lock as an area for future development and investment. The draft vision for the Upper Lee Valley, the existing Lee Valley Park Plan and 'Enriching Enfield' -Enfield's Leisure and Strategy 2005 - 2008 all identify Pickett's Lock as an area for the development of additional sports and recreation facilities of <u>strategic importance.</u></p>		
PMC13	Core Policy 12 TOURISM	British Waterways	<p>5th Bullet Point: the policy states that the Council will continue to work with the LVRPA to identify the priority mix of additional recreation and leisure facilities in the east of the borough, particularly at Ponders End, Pickett's Lock and Meridian Water. British Waterways has land ownership we should be recognised as a key stakeholder who are keen to continue working with the</p>	<p>Consistency: suggest minor change.</p> <p>Continuing to work with the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority, to help develop its Park Development Framework, <u>and with other partners such as British Waterways, and Thames Water</u> to identify the priority mix of additional recreation and leisure facilities in the east of the Borough, particularly at Ponders End, Pickett's Lock and Meridian Water, and encourage more visitors to the Lee Valley Regional Park.</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			Council.			
PMC14	Section 6.1 Economic Prosperity	Enfield Council	<p>The Council wishes to provide a factual an update within section 6.1: Promoting Economic Prosperity. Clarifications are intended to provide additional background material and detail, which could not be included in the Submission Core Strategy document, but, which in light of new government guidance and emerging work on Enfield's Economic Assessment provide additional clarification as to the way the employment figures were presented in the Pre-Submission Core Strategy.</p>	<p>Clarification: Council would consider the following new paragraphs be inserted above existing paragraph 6.8:</p> <p>6.8A <u>The Council is taking a proactive approach to investment and sustainable job growth and will plan to exceed the minimum target growth set out in Core Policy 13. To achieve this the Council is developing further work in understanding the local economy and aligning this knowledge to the strategic growth areas and place shaping priority areas. An Economic Assessment is forthcoming and this in turn will inform an Enterprise Framework/Inward Investment Strategy to be adopted later in 2010. This work will inform the preparation of Area Action Plans, Masterplans and a</u></p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<u>review of the Core Strategy where appropriate.</u>		
PMC15	Core Policy 13 and supporting Paragraphs PROMOTING ECONOMIC PROSPERITY	Enfield Council	Additional clarity is suggested by the Council to ensure the intention of Core Policy reflects the long term economic intention of the Core Strategy approach. The approach of the Policy is to secure a minimum of at least 6,000 new jobs.	<p>Clarification: Council suggest inserting additional text to Core Policy and supporting paragraphs:</p> <p>PROMOTING ECONOMIC PROSPERITY CORE POLICY 13</p> <p>The Council will protect and improve Enfield’s employment offer helping to facilitate the creation of a minimum of 6,000 new jobs from 2010-2026, focusing new growth in the Upper Lee Valley and Enfield’s town centres. Approximately a minimum of 4,000 new jobs are expected to be created in the Upper Lee Valley with the remainder concentrated in Enfield's main town centres and in other place shaping priority areas.</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?								
				<p>Table 6.1 Spatial Distribution of New Jobs</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1178 528 1706 794"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 528 1554 560"></td> <td data-bbox="1554 528 1706 560"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 560 1554 628">Upper Lee Valley</td> <td data-bbox="1554 560 1706 628">4,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 628 1554 719">Other town centres and Place Shaping Priority Areas</td> <td data-bbox="1554 628 1706 719">2,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1178 719 1554 794">Borough total</td> <td data-bbox="1554 719 1706 794">At least 6,000</td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="1178 890 1706 959">New paragraph after 6.16 under Implementation</p> <p data-bbox="1178 991 1706 1182"><u>The Council is to undertake an economic assessment during 2010 and this piece of work will help bring forward an Enterprise Framework/Inward Investment Strategy.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1178 1214 1706 1283">Amend paragraph 6.19 under Monitoring and Targets</p> <p data-bbox="1178 1347 1706 1377">6.19 New jobs created in the</p>			Upper Lee Valley	4,000	Other town centres and Place Shaping Priority Areas	2,000	Borough total	At least 6,000		
Upper Lee Valley	4,000													
Other town centres and Place Shaping Priority Areas	2,000													
Borough total	At least 6,000													

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				Borough will be monitored to achieve a minimum target of 6,000 new jobs by 2026, of which at least 4,000 will be located in the Upper Lee Valley.		
PMC16	Paragraph 6.9 Footnote 38 PROMOTING ECONOMIC PROSPERITY	Enfield Council	The Council would wish to provide a correction to the omission of footnote 38 is required to provide the full reference.	Correction: footnote 38 <u>(38) The Working Paper No. 20 employment projections by sector and borough, Source GLA Economic, February 2007.</u>	N	N
PMC17	Paragraph 6.24 SAFEGUARDING STRATEGIC INDUSTRIAL LOCATIONS	Enfield Council	Council would consider additional signposting to Appendix 7 within Paragraph 6.24.	Amendment: paragraph 6.24 under Justification. 6.24 The Borough has undergone some changes since the adoption of the UDP. The boundaries of the former Preferred Industrial Areas (PIAs), as identified in the UDP, have therefore been reviewed in partnership with the GLA in order to identify and safeguard industrial land which is of strategic importance to London and is appropriate to be designated as Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL) (40) . <u>The revised designations are illustrated in Map Series 1, Appendix 7.</u> Following this review, the extent of the former PIAs remains largely	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				unaltered. However, the review has identified scope to release land for mixed use development in a limited number of former PIAs without compromising the overall range and quality of employment land available in the Borough. This has the potential to meet other objectives, such as the regeneration of the Council's place shaping priority areas in particular – Ponders End and Meridian Water.		
PMC18	6.48 on page 76 TOWN CENTRES	The Theatres Trust	First word in brackets in last sentence should read 'if' not 'of'.	Typographical Correction: Replace words "if" with of	N	N
PMC19	Paragraph 7.34 DELIVERING SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT	British Waterways	More emphasis could be given to the transportation of waste by water here, including the use of Ash Wharf at Edmonton Eco Park, which is not currently utilized but presents an excellent opportunity for waterborne transport.	Clarification: Insertion – New sentence at the end of paragraph 7.34: 7.34 Self-sufficiency aims to increase the responsibility communities take for their waste, reducing the distance it travels and so the associated congestion and vehicle emissions. This is supported in the London Plan, which aims to increase the self-sufficiency of London through the borough-level apportionment. Inevitably waste will have to travel some distance from	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				its source to its treatment facility, and occasionally a significant distance where specialised facilities are needed (e.g. for hazardous waste). A key aim should therefore be to minimise the impacts of this through the use of more sustainable alternatives to road-based transport. <u>Opportunities such as utilizing Ash Wharf at Edmonton Eco Park for waterborne transportations should be explored.</u>		
PMC20	Core Policy 25 PEDESTRIANS AND CYCLISTS	Sustrans	Supports the implementation of greenways. However, the policy should make explicit reference to greenways.	Clarification: Insertion to Core Policy 25 final paragraph: Priority will be given to schemes that overcome community severance, particularly those linking communities on either side of the West Anglia Main Line, routes to schools, town centres, and recreational resources including <u>greenways</u> , and the Lee Valley Regional Park.	N	N
PMC21	Core Policy 26 PUBLIC TRANSPORT	British Waterways	This policy could include a point promoting better way finding between transport hubs and the waterways.	Clarification: Insertion to Core Policy 26 - of bullet 4: Improving public transport	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				interchanges to facilitate better wayfinding , integration between modes including provision for taxis, water based transportation and cycle parking and storage, particularly at Enfield Town, Edmonton Green, Ponders End, New Southgate and Southgate Circus;		
PMC22	Core Policy 28: MANAGING FLOOD RISK THROUGH DEVELOPMENT	British Waterways	SUDs are not the only way to manage flood risk through development. There should be a policy also of encouraging Green Roofs and Green Walls in new builds. Green Roofs on flat-roofed buildings provide natural insulation, rainwater attenuation and cooling, and are visually attractive and innovative. Green walls provide many of the same benefits and have been shown to provide considerable temperature regulation. They also contribute greatly to on-site biodiversity also, by	Clarification: Glossary definition of SUDs to be expanded to clarify that SUDs are <u>one of a number of measures to manage flood risk.</u>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			providing nesting and foraging opportunities for birds and invertebrates.			
PMC23	Core Policy 29 FLOOD MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE	Environment Agency	We support this policy and recommend a slight amendment to the following sentence: 'Development behind existing defences will be expected to reduce residual flood risk and works to open spaces within the floodplain or adjacent to watercourses elsewhere should explore opportunities for floodwater storage, river restoration and wetland creation.	Clarification: Insertion of new text: <u>river restoration and wetland creation</u> in paragraph 3 of Core Policy 29 accepted.	N	N
PMC24	Core Policy 30 MAINTAINING AND IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF THE BUILT AND OPEN ENVIRONMENT	Environment Agency	We recommend reference is made to the restoration of the Blue Ribbon Network by amending the following: 'The Council will: Adopt borough-wide standards	Clarification: Insertion of new text <u>restoration.</u>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			and guidance to design quality, including: - maximising, restoration , access to and visibility of the blue ribbon network and the Borough's green assets'			
PMC25	Paragraph 8.63 GREEN BELT AND COUNTRYSIDE	Environment Agency	When developing a project for a specific reason i.e. regional sport and recreational, it's important to build in other benefits which may not be the core reason for developing the project i.e. biodiversity. As a result we recommend this paragraph is amended as follows: ' At Picketts Lock, there is an opportunity for improvements to the regional sports and, recreational and biodiversity offer ,	Clarification: Insertion of <u>and biodiversity offer</u> accepted for additional clarity.	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			as reflected in the Upper Lee Valley Vision, the emerging Lee Valley Regional Park Development Framework.'			
PMC26	Core Policy 34 PARKS, PLAYING FIELDS AND OTHER OPEN SPACES.	The Wildlife Trust	Consider adding a new point re managing positively for biodiversity:	<p>Clarification: to Core Policy 36 Biodiversity to recognise the value of these spaces.</p> <p>CORE POLICY 36 BIODIVERSITY The Council will seek to protect, enhance, restore or add to biodiversity interests within the Borough, <u>including parks, playing fields and other sports spaces</u>, green corridors, waterways, sites, habitats and species identified at the national, London or local level as being of importance for nature conservation by:.....</p>	N	N
PMC27	Core Policy 35 LEE VALLEY REGIONAL PARK AND WATERWAYS	The Wildlife Trust	This should highlight more strongly the international significance of the Lee	<p>Clarification: Suggest amendments to Core Policy 36 Biodiversity, as</p>		

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>Valley to biodiversity and its designation as a Special Protection Area (SPA). The Lee Valley is one of only two SPAs in the London area.</p> <p>It is the most important feature of the Regional Park.</p> <p>Core Policy 35 should have at least one key point which acknowledges this importance and gives its support to biodiversity management of the park.</p>	<p>opposed to Core Policy 35, to recognise the European Special Protection Area (SPA) designation of Lee Valley.</p> <p>CORE POLICY 36</p> <p>The Council will seek to protect, enhance, restore or add to biodiversity interests within the Borough, including parks, playing fields and other sports spaces, green corridors, waterways, sites, habitats and species identified at the European, national, London or local level as being of importance for nature conservation by:.....</p>		
PMC28	Paragraph 8.96 LEE VALLEY REGIONAL PARK AND WATERWAYS	Environment Agency	Timescales for the Lee Valley Regional Park framework needs to be updated as consultation has only just begun.	<p>Amendment: Amend text to provide update.</p> <p>8.69 The Lee Valley Regional Park Authority is preparing a new Park Development Framework to set out the Authority's vision, proposals and policies for the future management of the Park</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
				<p>for the next 5-10 years. Within the emerging Park Development Framework, Picketts Lock is identified as one of the main destinations in the Park with the potential for multiple uses for sport, recreation, accommodation and biodiversity. The forthcoming Park Development Framework is expected to be published in late 2009 and will inform the preparation of the Central Leaside Area Action Plan and future reviews of the Core Strategy.</p>		
PMC29	Paragraph 8.97	Environment Agency	<p>Please note that the London Rivers Action Plan is not a definitive list of all the river restoration projects but merely one that highlights current known potential projects. This should be updated as new potential projects or developments come forward.</p>	<p>Amendment final sentence to provide clarity:</p> <p>The London Rivers Action Plan identifies a series of current and known river restoration projects in Enfield, to inform decisions on priority projects to be funded.</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC30	Monitoring & Targets BIODIVERSITY Paragraphs 8.110, 8.111	Environment Agency	<p>We recommend that another paragraph is added to paragraphs 8.110 and 8.111. This target could be in line with NI197 Biodiversity which requires the Local Authority to increase the percentage of SINC's and the number in Positive Conservation Management. In addition we recommend another target related to river restoration be included. This should be: 'New planning permissions will be monitored to achieve a target of restoring 225 meters of river a year or 1125 meters by 2015.' in Enfield (70.4km), this is 11.15% of the</p>	<p>Clarification: The Council is not in a position to add an additional target of river restoration at this stage as this is to be considered through the forthcoming Biodiversity Action Plan. However the Council is minded to clarify paragraph 8.110.</p> <p>Delete current wording and replace with:</p> <p>8.110 "The Enfield Biodiversity Action Plan, which is to be adopted during 2010, will contain targets for biodiversity enhancements across the Borough. This will include targets for National Indicator 197 (Improved Local Biodiversity – proportion of Local Sites where positive conservation management is being achieved), the restoration of rivers in line with the London Rivers Action Plan and</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>rivers in London. From this we have taken the objective in the London Rivers Action Plan (produced in 2009) of restoring 15km of river by 2015 or 2.5km each year. 11.15% of 2.5km is 225 meters each year or 1125 meters or river by 2015.</p>	<p>targets for the maintenance, enhancement and increase in area of priority habitats in line with those given in the London Plan. These and other Enfield BAP targets will be monitored and reported on through the Enfield Biodiversity Partnership."</p>		
PMC31	Core Policy 37 Central Leaside	North London Waste	<p>Consistent with Core Policies 22 and 14, the Authority believes Core Policy 37 should make reference to the EcoPark site to reflect its status as a Preferred Industrial Location where waste management uses will be supported.</p> <p>Currently CP 37 makes reference to a number of SILs and LSISs being retained</p>	<p>Clarification: Insert recommended wording for clarity and cross-referencing.</p> <p>The majority of the Central Leaside area will retain its industrial and employment character (see Core Policy 14). The Strategic Industrial Locations of Eley, Aztec 406, Montagu (the northern part), Kenninghall and Harbet Road estates, as well as the Locally Significant Industrial Sites; The Claverings Estate and the southern part of the Montagu Industrial Area, will be retained</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>and intensified. However, the policy does not refer to the EcoPark which is identified at CP14 as a Preferred Industrial Location. The Authority therefore requests that the policy be revised to make reference to the EcoPark site being retained and intensified, consistent with its designation as a PIL and the proposals set out in CP 22. This amendment would ensure that there is clarity as to the status of the PIL land through out the Central Leaside area.</p> <p>The following wording is suggested: '...and the southern</p>	<p>and intensified, (see Core Policy 22).</p>		

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			part of the Montagu Industrial Areas, will be retained and intensified. In line with Core Policy 22 waste management will be supported at the Edmonton EcoPark site....'			
PMC32	Core Policy 43 THE AREA AROUND ENFIELD TOWN STATION	Royal Mail	Core Policy 43 refers to Picture 9.14 which details the boundary for the Enfield Town Station Place however this is not provided in the document. We therefore request that the Council confirm the Enfield Town Station Place Shaping Priority Area boundary, whether indicatively, to be determined in detail subsequently through the Enfield Town AAP.	Correction: Delete reference to picture 9.14 and replace with referencing to Map 9.7 .	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
PMC33	Section 10.2 Delivery Mechanisms Paragraph 10.12	British Waterways	British Waterways have been working with the North London Strategic Alliance on their plans for the Upper Lea Valley, so are happy to be included in the list of partners.	Update: Add British Waterways to the list of organisations listed.	N	N
PMC34	Appendix 2	GoL	Appendix 2 sets out the relationship between the core policies and the UDP saved policies. The format used does not make clear whether this is a table that lists UDP policies superseded by core strategy policies or simply a cross referencing of the two sets of policies, especially as it appears to cover all UDP policies when it should be expected that the Core Strategy would only replace certain UDP	Clarification accepted , an additional column titled "Superseded by Core Strategy". Appendix 1 of this schedule sets out a revised table.	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>policies and some would be replaced by later DPDs or AAPs. Regulation 13(5) of the 2004 regulations requires that a DPD should indicate precisely which UDP policies would be replaced upon adoption of the DPD. Therefore, before submission, the Table should be clarified so that it accords with the regulatory requirement.</p>			
PMC35	Appendix 4 Evidence Base	British Waterways	<p>The Evidence Base should also make reference to the following documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Town and Country Planning Association's recent publication Policy Advice Note for Inland Waterways, July 2009 	<p>Clarification:</p> <p>Insertion of relevant guidance and plans within the appropriate list of evidence base.</p>	N	N

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>(http://www.tcpa.org.uk/pages/inland-waterways.html)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - English Heritage's recent publication "England's Historic Waterways: A working heritage - Promoting high quality waterside development" (2009 http://www.helm.org.uk/upload/pdf/HistWat.pdf?1258977969) - Department of Health's publication of the Physical Activity Plan for England "Be active, be healthy: a plan for getting the nation moving" (http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/publicationsandstatistics/publications/publicationspolicyandguidance/dh_094358) - British Waterways' 			

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			<p>document, produced in cooperation with the Metropolitan Police, "Under Lock and Quay", which offers advice on waterside design that balances the needs of the area, such as security, as part of successful redevelopments. (http://www.britishwaterways.co.uk/media/documents/BWL_Under_Lock_and_Quay.pdf)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Waterways for Tomorrow (DETR 2000) - Waterways for Everyone (DEFRA consultation – Dec 09) - DEFRA and DTLR sponsored Inland Waterways Amenity Advisory Council 			

Ref:	Chapter, Section, Policy, Paragraph, Tables, Figures	Respondent	Requested Change	Justified Change	Is consultation required?	Is further SA required?
			(IWAAC) Good Practice Guide – Planning a Future for the Inland Waterways (Dec 2001).			
PMC36	Appendix 4 Evidence Base	English Heritage	It is noted that the Borough side Characterisation Study is not listed as part of the evidence base.	Correction: Insert Characterisation Study into list of evidence base.	N	N
PMC37	Appendix 8 Glossary	GoL	It would be helpful if the glossary included the acronyms for SIL, IBP, PIL, LSIS .	Clarification: Additional acronyms to be inserted: SIL “Strategic Industrial Land” IBP “Industrial Business Parks” PIL “Preferred Industrial Locations” LSIS “Locally Significant Industrial Sites”.	N	N

Recommended amendment to Appendix 2: Relationship to Saved UDP Policies

Proposed Minor Changes – Appendix 2: Relationship to Saved UDP Policies

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(I) EO1	Equal Opportunities	Y	9 (77)				
(I) N1-N4	Borough Structure	Y	(78)				
(I) EN1-EN6	General Environmental Considerations	Y	28-36				
(II) EN3-EN5	Advertisements			Y			Y
(II) EN6	Telecommunications			Y			
(II) EN10-EN15	Nature Conservation		35				
(II) EN16	Article 4 Directions		(79)				
(II) EN17-EN18	Environmental improvements		29				
(II) EN20-EN21	Vacant and under-used land	Y	15				
(II) EN23	Environmental Education						
(II) EN24-EN26	Minerals	Y	23				
(II) EN29	Waste	Y	21, 22				
(II) EN30-EN32	Pollution	Y	32				
(II) EN33	Environmental Assessment			Y			
(I) G1-G3	Green Belt	Y	33				
(II) <u>G1</u>	<u>Green Belt</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>33</u>				
(II) <u>G5</u> <u>G3-G6</u>	<u>Management Plan</u>			<u>Y</u>			
(II) G7-G10	Landscape Measures		31	Y			Y
(II) G11-G19	Environmental Measures affecting New Development		28, 29	Y			
(II) G20-G21	Urban Edge		33	Y			Y
(II) G22-G24	Agriculture			Y			

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
(II) G25	Horticulture		33	Y			
(II) G26	Garden Centres		33	Y			
(II) G27-G28	Horsekeeping			Y			
(II) G29-G30	Lee Valley Regional Park	Y	33, 35				
(II) G31-G33	Recreation	Y	11, 34				
(II) G34-G39	Residential Development			Y			
(II) G40-G41	Horticulture/Garden Centres		32	y			
(II) G42	Livestock Units			Y			
(II) G43	Horsekeeping			Y			
(II) G44-G45	Landscape Treatment			Y			
(I) O1-O4	Open Space & Metropolitan Open Land	Y	34				
(II) O1-O5	Metropolitan Open Land		34	Y			
(II) O6-O9	Green Chains		34	Y			
(II) O10-O18	Urban Open Space		34	Y			
(II) O19	Playing Fields		34	Y		Y	
(II) O20	Leisure Gardens (Allotments)		34	Y	Y		
(I) C1	Conservation and Townscape	Y	31				
(II) C1-C7	Archaeology and Ancient Monuments		31	Y			
(II) C8-C11	Procedures						Y
(II) C12-C14	Protection and Maintenance of Listed Buildings		31	Y			Y
(II) C16-C17	Use of Listed Buildings		31	Y			
(II) C18-	Historic Landscapes		31	Y			Y

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
C20							
(II) C21	Designation of Conservation Areas		31				Y
(II) C23-C25	Safeguarding the Environment in Conservation Areas		31	Y			Y
(II) C26-C31	Quality of Development		30	Y			Y
(II) C32-C34	Advertisements			Y			
(II) C35-39	Tree Protection			Y		Y	
(I) GD1-GD2	General Development Considerations	Y	(80)				
(II) GD1	Land Use Compatibility			Y			
(II) GD3-GD9	Planning Standards			Y			
(II) GD12-GD13	Flooding and Surface Water Drainage	Y	28, 29				
(I) H2-H4	Housing	Y	2 - 6				
(II) H2-H6	Housing - The Existing Housing Stock		4	Y			
(II) H8-H15	Standards of Residential Development - General Standards of Residential Development		4	Y			
(II) H16	Standards of Residential Development - Conversions		4	Y			Y
(II) H18	Standards of Residential Development - Housing Needs of People with Disabilities		4	Y			
(II) H20	Housing Support			Y			

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
	Services - Accommodation for Homeless Persons						
(II) H22	Housing Support Services - Special Needs	Y	6, 9	Y			
(I) E1-E4	Employment and Economic Development	Y	12	Y			
(II) E1	Availability of Land and Labour	Y	13, 14	Y			
(II) E2-E3	Commercial and Industrial Defined Areas		14	Y			
(II) E4	Special Needs of Small Firms		14, 15	Y			
(II) E5-E7	Secondary Industrial Premises		14, 15	Y			
(II) E9	Non-Commercial and Industrial Uses		14	Y			
(II) E11	Standards of Development			Y			
(II) E12-E13	Infrastructure		20 - 29	Y			
(II) E14-E15	Environmental Safeguards			Y	Y		
(I) S1-S3	Shopping and Town Centres	Y	17				
(II) S1	Town Centres	Y	17				
(II) S2	Town Centres	Y	17				
(II) S3	Management of the Town Centres		17, 18	Y	Y		
(II) S5-S11	Non-Retail Uses in Town Centres		17, 18	Y			
(II) S13-S15	Local Centres		17, 18	Y			
(II) S17	Major Out-of-Centre Retail Development			Y			
(II) S18	Food and Drink Establishments			Y			
(II) S19	Design		30				Y

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
	Considerations						
(II) S20-S21	Accommodation on Upper Floors			Y			
(II) S22	Access for People with Disabilities			Y			
(I) T1-T11	Transportation	Y	24 - 27	Y			
(II) T1	Land Use and Transportation	Y	24 - 27				
(II) T3-T5	Public Transport	Y	26				
(II) T6-T7	Roads - Highway Network	Y	24				
(II) T8-T12	Roads - Environmental Considerations			Y			
(II) T13-T14	Roads - Highway Improvements		24	Y			
(II) T15-T17	Pedestrians	Y	25	Y	Y		Y
(II) T19-T21	Cycling		25	Y	Y		Y
(II) T22-T23	Freight - Road Freight	Y	27	Y			
(II) T24	Freight - Rail	Y	27				
(II) T25-T26	Freight - Waterways	Y	27	Y	Y		
(II) T27-T32	Parking			Y			
(II) T33	Safety	Y	9	Y			
(I) AR1-AR2	Arts, Recreation and Tourism		11, 12	Y			
(II) AR1-AR3	Recreation		11	Y			
(II) AR4-AR5	Arts, Culture and Entertainment		11	Y			
(II) AR6-AR7	Tourism		12	Y			
(I) CS1	Community Services	Y	7 - 10	Y			
(II) CS1-CS3	Land and Environmental			Y		Y	

UDP POLICY			LDF POLICY DOCUMENTS				
UDP Policy No. <i>(excludes policies expired Sept 07)</i>	Policy Title	Superseded By This DPD	Core Strategy Core Policy No.	Development Management DPD	Area Action Plan DPDs	Sites Schedule DPD	Enfield Design Guide SPD
	Considerations						
(II) CS4	Day Nurseries			Y			
(II) CS5	Places of Public Worship			Y			
(II) ET1	Enfield Town - Land Uses		17, 18, 42, 43	Y			
(II) ET3-ET15	Enfield Town - Shopping Developments		17, 18, 42	Y			

new column